International Economics

280 Park Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017 U.S.A.

SINGAPORE 1986

William H. Overholt Vice President Political Assessment Group 7 November, 1986 PAG/297/1-D

DRAFT

This is a working document and is not in final form; the statements contained in it may need to be revised or corrected. It is reproduced for private circulation only, not for general distribution or publication, and it should not be cited or quoted without the permission of the author.

Drafts are reproduced at the discretion of the author, with no Bank review procedure, and thus no opinions, statements of fact, or conclusions contained in this document can be attributed to the Bank or its clients.

SINGAPORE 1986

Political risk in Singapore is minimal.

Singapore enjoys overwhelming advantages in the race for economic development:

- --leadership which gives high priority to economic performance and has the skills to deliver;
- --administrative institutions of high efficiency and morale;
- --a consensus on how Singapore should be governed;
- --a consensus on the overall structure of the economy; and
- -- a high, although not perfect, degree of racial harmony.
- -- a labor force consistently rated the world's most efficient.

The principal risks to Singapore's future are Singaporean bureaucrats, who are to be found everywhere in Singapore and who gum up the works like molasses. More remote threats would be upheavals in Malaysia or Indonesia or the election of a government that would restrict capital movement or transfer of capital to heirs.

Singapore is governed by the People's Action Party, a highly institutionalized political operation under the leadership of Lee Kwan Yew. The PAP runs Singapore on the familiar Asian principle that democracy is a good thing so long as the opposition doesn't get any power. It has been disgruntled in recent years because in 1981 it lost one seat in the legislature and in 1984 it lost another — which is two too many in the view of Lee Kwan Yew, who responded to the news by questioning whether one man one vote was the proper way to Singapore to go and by indicating the government might well save the money that would have gone into providing government services for the offending constituencies. Life is not easy for the two opposition legislators.

Singaporean society enjoys all the money it has been making under Lee Kwan Yew; for many years, Singapore outpaced even Hong Kong and Taiwan in economic growth. Recently, however, less money has been made and there are mild rumblings that perhaps Lee's paternalism is a bit overbearing and his economic policies are a bit obsolescent. Lee has responded to these rumblings like any good Confucian leader—by passing yet another law allowing the government to crack down on anyone in the press who is so insolent as to criticize him or his policies excessively. It became regrettably necessary to ban Time magazine. Singapore will probably survive both the new law and the absence of Time.

Despite the overbearing paternalism, should something happen to Lee, he has made adequate preparations for successors. The government has a goodly number of promising aspirants, including first deputy prime minister Goh Chok Tong. Just in case a shortage develops, Lee has made his son, former Brigadier General Lee Hsienloong, available in a highly conspicuous job where he is supposed to overcome the current economic slump.

Singapore's embarrassing slump has resulted from:

--government over-regulation of the economy;

- --government policies of boosting wages rapidly to drive out low tech industries (and the associated need to import Malay labor a policy which was successful but proved easier than attracting high tech substitutes;
- --cyclical downturns in key industries, notably shipbuilding, oil services, and real estate:
- --downturns in the economies of key customers, especially Indonesia, Malaysia, and the U.S.;
- --deliberate replacement by Indonesia and Malaysia of some of the key functions served by Singapore;
- --a disappointing tourist industry caused by the government's having paved over all the attractions in order to turn the country into a gigantic shopping center;
- -- the collapse of the stock market due to inadequate regulation and a little assist from a prominent Malaysian politician.

The government recognizes these and is dealing with each one:

- --privatization and some deregulation, but not enough;
- --a wage freeze, lower taxes, and a decline in the exchange rate;
- --less building of buildings;
- --an attempt to substitute Chinese business for Indonesian and Malaysian business;
- --some modest (very modest) efforts to find something for tourists to do; and
- --a new Securities Industry Act which tightens regulation and an effort to reinvigorate the stock market by allowing individuals to invest portions of their Central Provident Fund;
- --a new set of regulations designed to encourage banks to make Singapore a headquarters for capital markets fund management, and third-country trade finance.

These are the right policies, but the problems are not ones that can be solved overnight. The turnaround will be slow.

Singapore has directed its biggest efforts toward achieving the role to which Singapore's leadership has long aspired, namely administrative capital of Pacific Asia. The idea is that every big multinational corporation should manage its regional office from Singapore. To attract such headquarters, Singapore already has prosperity and stability. To this it has added concessionary tax \mathbf{of} only 10 percent on income derived from activities characteristic of a multinational headquarters operation such as planning or dividends from other countries managed by the Singapore headquarters. Singapore's only major problem in achieving its goal is that senior executives of multinational corporations get bored living in Singapore.

On balance, Singapore's planners are well on their way to achieving their goal: a country that consists of many very large bureaucracies sitting on top of one very large shopping center.

In the meantime, Singapore is in no danger of running out of money. One of the risks of having so many bureaucrats around is that the government has collected so much money that it really doesn't know what to do with all of it: foreign reserves are equal to about 70 percent of GNP.